Introduction

The questions that endurance coaches and athletes ask themselves daily are for how long, how hard and how often. Studies by Tonnessen et al. [41, 42] found that elite athletes divide their endurance training quite uniformly into 80% low-intensity and 20% high-intensity training. Recent research further suggests that division should be done using a polarized model [24, 40]. It has been shown that both low and high-intensity endurance training are needed to gain favourable peripheral adaptations in the muscle and central adaptations in the circulatory system [39]. Despite consensus on training intensity distribution, optimal periodization during shorter microcycles and over the whole year allows much more room for different interpretations.

Block periodization of high-intensity intervals has been shown to be an effective way to improve endurance performance [32]. There have been shorter shock microcycles lasting 1–2 weeks with almost daily HIT sessions [2, 4] and longer periods of 4–12 weeks of alternating LiT and HIT blocks [32, 34]. Both models have improved VO2_max, time to exhaustion and submaximal endurance measured as speed or power at lactate threshold. It has been speculated that a high number of highly concentrated workloads may allow greater improvements than more concurrent kinds of train-
ing. The idea behind block training is to train different target abilities in series rather than concurrently [18]. However, the actual mechanisms behind block periodization and its effects on heart rate variability (HRV), serum hormone concentrations and neuromuscular performance have remained mostly unsolved.

During intensive training periods that may lead to overreaching, the role of monitoring performance and fatigue becomes more important to ensure sufficient recovery [29]. Monitoring can be divided into external and internal methods. The external methods include performance tests like countermovement jumps [5] or submaximal performance tests [47]. The internal methods include markers such as hormone concentrations of testosterone [6] or testosterone/cortisol ratio [13] and heart rate or HRV measurements [19, 45]. As a non-invasive method to evaluate the autonomic nervous system function, HRV is a potential tool to analyse the current recovery status during intensive training periods. It has been shown that HRV decreases after heavy and moderate endurance sessions [16]. Both the intensity [25, 38] and the duration of the work performed [25] may have an effect on the magnitude of delay observed in the recovery of the autonomic nervous system.

HRV-guided training has been studied, for example, by Kiviniemi et al. [19] and Vesterinen et al. [45]. The idea behind HRV-guided training is to adjust the training load or intensity based on the autonomic nervous system status. It is assumed that the decrease in HRV indicates lowered cardiac parasympathetic modulation, which in turn may be related to the reduced level of the recovery status [16, 38]. In studies by Vesterinen et al. [45] and Kiviniemi et al. [19], HRV has been monitored daily, and the intensity of daily endurance sessions has been defined by the result of the HRV test after having been compared to an individually scaled reference or control value. For the definition of changes in HRV, it has been recommended to use assessment of averages of longer periods instead of individual daily values due to the natural day-to-day variation in HRV [30].

Due to high demands of HIT blocks, also observed as changes in autonomic modulation, one may speculate that HRV guidance of these blocks may allow a more optimal outcome compared to predetermined programming. To the best of our knowledge, no research using HRV guidance of HIT blocks has been published.

The purpose of this study was to compare predetermined and HRV-guided block periodization of HIT and its effects on endurance and neuromuscular performance, HRV and serum hormone concentrations. We hypothesized that HRV-guided training provides greater adaptations compared to predetermined training.

Materials and Methods

Subjects

Thirty-two recreationally trained males were recruited for this study. Subjects were 19–37 years old and used to regular endurance training. Resting ECG was checked by a cardiologist before inclusion in the study. During the intervention there were five dropouts due to illness (n = 1), injuries (n = 2) and personal reasons (n = 3). Three subjects were excluded due to poor adherence to training (less than 90% of sessions). Finally, twenty-four subjects were included in the study analyses. After the control tests, subjects were divided into pairs based on their age, training background, 3000 m performance and resting HRV. After that, subjects were randomly assigned to the HRV-guided group (HRVG, n = 13, age: 29 ± 4 years, height: 180 ± 7 cm, weight: 76.4 ± 9.4 kg) and predetermined group (PD, n = 11, age: 31 ± 5 years, height: 176 ± 5 cm, weight: 74.0 ± 5.7 kg). The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Jyväskylä and it meets the ethical standards of the journal [10].

Experimental design

The study consisted of the 3-week control period and the 8-week training period. During the control period subjects maintained their regular amount of endurance training. However, they were instructed to plan their training so that they were fully recovered at the beginning of the training period. In addition, one interval session (3 × 10 × 30 s) and one strength session was preprogrammed to familiarise subjects with these sessions before the training intervention. The control period started from the control tests and ended before the pre-tests. After the pre-tests, the next three days were preprogrammed in both groups, but after that the groups utilized their own training program. After four weeks of training, the mid-tests were performed and the training program started from the beginning in both groups. The post-tests were performed after the 8-week training period.

Anthropometrics, neuromuscular measurements and 3000 m running tests were performed at the beginning of the control period, the beginning of the training period, at the middle and after the training period. The tests were performed during one day so that subjects arrived in a fasted state to give blood samples and for anthropometric measurements. After these measurements, a light breakfast was eaten. Thereafter, the maximum running velocity test, countermovement jump (CMJ) and 1RM dynamic leg press were performed. In the afternoon, the 3000 m running test was performed. The incremental treadmill test was performed before and after the training period. All the tests were performed individually at the same time of the day (± 2 h). Before each test, at least three days of low-intensity training was performed.

Training

Endurance training consisted of low-intensity training (LIT) and high-intensity training (HIT). All sessions were specified to be performed on a flat, solid surface and individually at the same time of the day. Subjects wore Garmin XT920 heart rate monitors (Garmin Ltd, Schaffhausen, Switzerland) in each training session. They also kept a training diary and wrote down the training mode, session length, heart rate and their own comments. GPS and heart rate data from each training session were sent to the research group to be checked manually. Every week at least one voluntary supervised session was held. From the training data, a weekly training frequency, amount of endurance, other and total training, and intensity distribution with the time-in-zone method on a three-zone scale (1 < 82% HRmax, 2 = 82–87% HRmax and 3 > 87% HRmax) were analyzed. In addition, the weekly training distribution based on the session goal of the training mode (HIT, LIT, strength) was analyzed.

LIT sessions were performed under the individual aerobic threshold. Subjects were instructed to maintain their typical length of LIT sessions, but at least 30 min and at the maximum of 90 min. One longer LIT session (over 60 min) was performed every other
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week. Sessions included mainly running, but alternative forms were also allowed to avoid overuse injuries [45]. Two types of interval sessions were performed during the training period, which were 4 × 4 min intervals at the intensity corresponding to 90–95 % of maximal heart rate, individually over the anaerobic threshold with 3 min of active recovery between intervals [12] and 3 × 10 × 30 s at a running velocity equal to 95 % of Vmax with 15 s of active recovery between intervals and 3 min between sets [33].

A strength session was performed five times during the training period. The session was a mixture of maximum and explosive strength training. Leg press, knee flexion and two upper body exercises were performed at loads of 70–85 % of 1RM with 2–3 sets and 5–10 repetitions. Bench step (body weight) and half squat (30/40/60 %/1RM) were performed as explosively as possible using 3 sets of 5–6 repetitions. In addition, two core exercises were performed with three sets of 20 repetitions.

The training at the PD group consisted of HIT block weeks (4–5 HIT sessions) and recovery weeks (1 HIT session) which were performed in turns through the training period [34]. One rest day was included in each week. The same 4-week training program model was used in weeks 1–4 and 5–8. (Fig. 1).

The HRV group had the same training modes as the PD group, but the way those modes were programmed differed. In HRV, the training program was divided into six blocks (B1–B6). Moving from one block to another was based on the quick recovery test result. Only LIT sessions were performed in HRVG as long as the test result was below the individual reference values. Strength sessions were performed as LIT sessions and they were placed by research group. Both groups started the similar training program from the beginning after four weeks of training.

Subjects performed the quick recovery test (Firstbeat Technologies Ltd, Jyväskylä, Finland) in a supine position every morning during the control and the training period. The test required 3 min of RR interval data collection. The software performed artefact correction and data filtering [35]. The RR interval data was collected with a Garmin 920XT heart rate monitor (Garmin Ltd, Schaffhausen, Switzerland). The quick recovery test score was derived by the Firstbeat SPORTS Monitor v. 2.0 (Firstbeat Technologies Ltd, Jyväskylä, Finland) using heart rate and RMSSD parameters for describing vagal activity. The results were adaptively scaled based on the average and standard deviation of the user’s personal measurement history. The results were presented from 0 to 100 % (0–30 % poor, 30–70 % moderate, 70–90 % good, and 90–100 % excellent recovery).
3000-meter running and incremental treadmill tests

The 3000 m running test was performed on the 200 m indoor running track. Before the test, a 15 min warm-up was performed. The running tests were performed in groups of four subjects on average. After the test lactate samples were taken from the fingertip immediately after and 4 min after the end of the test (Biosen S_line Lab + lactate analyzer, EKF Diagnostic, Magdeburg, Germany). In addition, the maximum heart rate was analyzed.

The incremental treadmill test was performed in the laboratory of Biology of Physical Activity at the University of Jyväskylä (Telineyhty, Kotka, Finland). The test started at a velocity of 8 km/h or 10 km/h based on the fitness level of each subject. The same starting velocity was used in both tests. The 8 km/h velocity was increased to 10 km/h and by 1 km/h at each 3 min stage thereafter. After each stage the treadmill was stopped for fingertip blood samples (20 s). Lactate samples were analyzed with Biosen S_line Lab + lactate analyzer (EKF Diagnostic, Magdeburg, Germany). During the test, the heart rate was recorded with a Garmin XT920 heart rate monitor (Garmin Ltd, Schaffhausen, Switzerland). The incline was kept at 0.5 degrees through the test. Oxygen consumption was measured using the breath-by-breath test (OxyconPro, Jaeger, Hoechberg, Germany). Before each test the gas analyzer was manually calibrated.

VO$_{2\text{max}}$ was defined as the highest 60 s average of oxygen consumption. $V_{\text{max}}$ was defined as the highest speed finished, or if the stage was not finished, as the speed of the last completed stage (km/h) + (running time (s) of the unfinished stage – 30 seconds) / (180 – 30 seconds) * 1 km/h. Aerobic (LT1) and anaerobic (LT2) thresholds were determined based on lactate values during the test. The aerobic threshold was set at 0.3 mmol/l above the lowest lactate value and the anaerobic threshold at the intersection point between 1) a linear model between LT1 and the next lactate point, and 2) a linear model for the lactate points with an La increase of (at least) 0.8 mmol/l [46].

Anthropometrics and neuromuscular measurements

Body weight and fat percentage were analyzed after 12 h of fasting using the InBody720 analyzer (Biospace Co. Ltd, Seoul, South Korea).

The maximum running velocity test was performed in the indoor track. Before the test, subjects performed 10 min warm-up, dynamic stretching and three accelerations of 40–50 meters. The maximum velocity (m/s) was calculated from the 10 m running distance between the photocells after the 25 m acceleration. Subjects had three attempts unless more than 5 % improvement was observed between the second and third attempts. Between the attempts a recovery of 2 min was allowed.

Countermovement jumps were performed on a force plate (Department of Biology of Physical Activity, Jyväskylä, Finland). During the jumps, subjects held their hands on their hips. Knee angle was instructed to be at 90 degrees. Three jumps were performed with 1 min recovery between jumps, unless more than 5% improvement was observed between the second and third attempts. Jumping height was analyzed from the force impulse. The analysis was done with the Signal 4.10 program (Cambridge Electronic Design Ltd, Cambridge, UK).

The dynamic leg press action was performed concentrically using the David 210 dynamometer (David Sports Ltd., Helsinki, Finland). The starting knee angle was individually set to 60 degrees. The warm-up protocol consisted of five repetitions with the loads at 70 % of 1RM, three repetitions at 80 % of 1RM and two repetitions at 90 % of 1RM. Between the sets, a one-minute recovery was allowed. After the warm-up, one repetition at a time was performed until the subject could not finish the increased load. Between the repetitions a 1.5 min recovery was allowed.

Heart rate variability

Heart rate and HRV were recorded every morning and every other night throughout the study period. The morning measurements were done with Garmin 920XT heart rate monitors (Garmin Ltd, Schaffhausen, Switzerland). Subjects were instructed to perform the measurement right after awakening and emptying the urinary bladder. The measurement was 3 min long and performed in a supine position. Before starting data collection, subjects were instructed to wait until their heart rate became steady. Subjects sent HR data to the research group and data was analyzed with the Firstbeat Sports software. The weekly average of the morning heart rate and RMSSD was analyzed. Nocturnal measurements were done with the Firstbeat Bodyguard device (Firstbeat Technologies Ltd, Jyväskylä, Finland). Subjects were instructed to put the device on when going to sleep and to release the device immediately after awakening. From the nocturnal measurements, the 4-h period starting 30 min after going to sleep was analyzed. Recorded RR intervals were edited by an artefact detection filter in the Firstbeat Sports software, which excluded all falsely detected, missed, and premature heartbeats. If the error percentage representing the number of corrected interbeat intervals shown by the software was higher than 33 %, recordings were excluded from the analysis in line with the suggestion by Vesterinen et al. [44]. Heart rate, RMSSD, low frequency (LF), high frequency (HF), and total power (TP) were analyzed from the whole control period and during training weeks 4 and 8.

Serum hormone concentrations

Serum hormone concentrations were measured at the same time of the day (8:00–9:00) after 12 h of fasting. Blood samples were taken from the antecubital vein into serum tubes (Vacuttte, Greiner Bio One International GmbH, Kremsmünster, Austria) using standard laboratory procedures. Whole blood was centrifuged at 3500 rpm (Megaufge 1.0R, Heraeus, Hanau, Germany) for 10 min. After that serum was removed and refrigerated at −80 degrees until the final analysis. Serum testosterone and cortisol were analyzed with chemical luminescence techniques (Immulite 2000 XPI, Siemens, NY, USA) and hormone-specific immunoassay kits (Siemens, NY, USA). The sensitivity of testosterone and cortisol assays was 0.5 nmol/l and 5.5 nmol/l, respectively. The intra-assay coefficients of variation for testosterone and cortisol were 7.3 % and 8.3 %, respectively.

Statistical analysis

All the values are presented as mean ± standard deviation. Normality of the data was assessed with the Shapiro-Wilk test. To test for differences between the groups at baseline and within groups be-
between the control and pre-tests, unpaired two-tailed t-tests and paired two-tailed t-tests were used. Within-group differences on the incremental treadmill test were compared using a paired two-tailed t-test (VO2max, V̇max, LT1, and LT2). Neuromuscular performance, 3000 m test, serum hormone concentrations and HRV were analyzed using repeated measures ANOVA. If the ANOVA reached significance, a Fisher’s LSD test was performed for post hoc analysis. VO2max l/min and LF (ms²) values of the PD group were not normally distributed, so the data was analyzed using a nonparametric Wilcoxon signed-rank test. To test for differences in relative changes from the pre-intervention to post-intervention between the groups, unpaired Students t-tests were performed. In addition, effect size (ES) of between-group differences in the relative changes of key performance and physiological variables was calculated as Cohen’s d. The magnitude of changes was stated as < 0.2 trivial, 0.2–0.5 small, 0.5–0.8 moderate and > 0.8 large. The correlation analysis was done using Pearson moment product method. Significance was set at p ≤ 0.05 * , p < 0.01 ** and p < 0.001 ***. Results were analyzed with Microsoft Excel 2010 (Microsoft Corporation, WA, USA) and the IBM SPSS Statistics v.24 program (SPSS Inc., IL, USA).

Results

There were no significant between-group differences in the baseline levels of endurance, neuromuscular, HRV or serum hormone concentration variables. No significant changes were found during the control period, except for maximal running velocity in HRVG.

Anthropometrics

There were no significant changes from the pre- to post-training period in body weight (HRVG 76.5 ± 9.0 kg vs. 76.4 ± 9.4 kg; PD 74.0 ± 5.5 kg vs. 74.0 ± 5.7 kg) or fat percent (HRVG 12.6 ± 4.2 % vs. 12.6 ± 4.4 %; PD 12.6 ± 2.7 % vs. 12.2 ± 3.2 %).

Training

No significant differences were observed between the groups in the amount of training or training intensity distribution during the control and training periods. In both groups, significant increases were found from the control period to the training period in training frequency (HRVG 5.3 ± 2.1 vs. 6.3 ± 1.4, p = 0.007; PD 5.0 ± 1.1 vs. 6.1 ± 0.4, p = 0.001) and amount of Zone 2 training (HRVG 10 ± 7 % vs. 15 ± 6 %, p = 0.005; PD 6 ± 4 % vs. 12 ± 5 %, p = 0.008). In the PD group a significant increase was found in the amount of endurance (4.7 ± 1.7 h vs. 5.3 ± 1.8 h p < 0.001) and total training (5.2 ± 1.8 h vs. 6.0 ± 1.9 h p < 0.001). Training characteristics of both groups during the training period are presented in > Table 1.

No significant differences were found between the groups in the number of HIT sessions during the training period. The total number of HIT sessions in PD and HRVG were, on average, 21.8 ± 0.6 vs. 19.8 ± 4.1, respectively. The PD group performed, on average, 10.9 ± 0.3 HIT sessions during the first and last four weeks of the training period, whereas the HRVG group performed 10.3 ± 2.7 HIT sessions during the first four weeks and 9.5 ± 2.8 HIT sessions during the last four weeks. No significant correlation was found between the number of HIT sessions and endurance performance changes in the HRVG group.

In the weekly training distribution significant differences were found between the groups. During weeks 2 (p = 0.008) and 7 (p = 0.030) the relative amount of weekly HIT sessions was significantly different between groups. During weeks 1, 3, 4, 6, and 8, between-group differences in the relative amount of HIT sessions approached the significance level (p = 0.054–0.075) (> Fig. 2).

Endurance performance

Both groups increased their V̇max significantly (HRVG p < 0.001; PD p < 0.001). A significant difference and large effect size (ES = 0.95) between the groups was found in the relative increase of V̇max (p = 0.033) (> Fig. 3). VO2max relative to body weight and in absolute values increased in HRVG (p = 0.001, p = 0.011) and PD (p = 0.005, p = 0.036). Moderate effect size (ES = 0.52) was found between the groups in the relative increase of absolute VO2max. Aerobic threshold (LT2) increased in both groups significantly (HRVG p < 0.001; PD p = 0.050). Significant increases were found in the aerobic threshold (LT1) in HRVG (p = 0.021) and PD (p = 0.027) (> Table 2).

Both groups improved their performance in the 3000 m test from pre- to post-training (HRVG −5.2 ± 2.4 %, p < 0.001; PD −5.2 ± 3.1 %, p = 0.001), pre- to mid-training (HRVG −3.1 ± 1.3 %, p < 0.001; PD −3.5 ± 2.6 %, p = 0.002) and mid- to post-training (HRVG −2.2 ± 1.5 %, p < 0.001; PD −1.5 ± 1.1 %, p = 0.001). Maximum lactate values increased significantly in the HRVG group from mid-to-post-training (12.8 ± 18.4 %, p = 0.039). In the PD group, a similar trend was observed from pre- to post-training (16.0 ± 23.5 %, p = 0.064) (> Table 3).

Neuromuscular performance

No significant changes were found within groups in the CMJ during the training period. From pre- to mid-training, jumping height in PD tended to decrease (29.0 ± 3.8 cm vs. 28.4 ± 3.7 cm, p = 0.073), while increasing trend was seen in HRVG from pre- to post-training (31.4 ± 4.8 cm vs. 32.1 ± 5.2 cm). A significant difference between the groups was found in the relative change of CMJ from pre-to-post-training (p = 0.048) with a large effect size (ES = 0.88) (> Fig. 4).

Maximal running velocity increased in HRVG significantly from the control to the pre-test (8.14 ± 0.31 m/s vs. 8.20 ± 0.32 m/s, p = 0.008). From pre- to mid-test, maximal running velocity in PD
decreased significantly (7.95 ± 0.40 m/s vs. 7.87 ± 0.36 m/s, p = 0.008) and tended to decrease in HRVG (–0.5 ± 1.0 %, p = 0.054). No other significant differences were found between or within groups (▶ Table 3).

1RM increased from pre- to post-training significantly in both groups (HRVG: 206 ± 29 kg vs. 229 ± 32 kg, p = 0.001; PD 202 ± 32 kg vs. 225 ± 33 kg, p < 0.001). From pre- to mid-training, only PD increased leg press significantly (1.9 ± 3.0 % p = 0.024). From mid- to post-training, HRVG (8.7 ± 6.6 %, p < 0.001) and PD (9.5 ± 6.3 %, p = 0.001) increased their 1RM. No significant differences were found between the groups in the relative change of 1RM.

### Heart rate variability

Nocturnal heart rate decreased significantly from pre- to post-training in both groups (HRVG: 73.4 ± 2.2 vs. 71.8 ± 2.1, p < 0.001) and tended to decrease in HRVG (–0.5 ± 1.0 %, p = 0.054). No other significant differences were found between or within groups (▶ Fig. 4).

1RM increased from pre- to post-training significantly in both groups (HRVG: 206 ± 29 kg vs. 229 ± 32 kg, p = 0.001; PD 202 ± 32 kg vs. 225 ± 33 kg, p < 0.001). From pre- to mid-training, only PD increased leg press significantly (1.9 ± 3.0 % p = 0.024). From mid- to post-training, HRVG (8.7 ± 6.6 %, p < 0.001) and PD (9.5 ± 6.3 %, p = 0.001) increased their 1RM. No significant differences were found between the groups in the relative change of 1RM.

### Serum hormone concentrations

Serum testosterone concentration decreased significantly in PD from pre- to mid-training (p < 0.037), whereas no significant change was observed in HRVG (▶ Table 3). From mid- to post-training, testosterone increased significantly in HRVG (p < 0.029). Effect size showed a moderate between-group effect in testosterone change from pre- to post-training. No significant within- or between-group differences were found in the serum concentration of cortisol or the testosterone/cortisol ratio, although the testosterone/cortisol ratio increase approached significance from mid- to post-training in HRVG (p = 0.051).

### Correlations

A significant correlation was found between individual baseline HF and individual changes in V̇max in PD (r = 0.656, p = 0.028) (▶ Fig. 6), while no such a correlation was observed in HRVG. Individual resting HR changes from pre- to post-training correlated with 3000 m changes (r = –0.630, p = 0.01), as well as individual HF (r = 0.488, p = 0.018) and TP (r = 0.467, p = 0.025) changes from pre- to post-training in the total group of subjects. In the morning measurements, individual RMSSD changes from the control period to weeks 5–8 correlated significantly with V̇max changes (r = 0.499, p < 0.015)
Table 2 Incremental treadmill test results and between-group effect sizes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HRVG (n = 13)</th>
<th>PD (n = 11)</th>
<th>ES (pre-post)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>Post</td>
<td>Pre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO2max (ml/kg/min)</td>
<td>53.6 ± 4.2</td>
<td>56.7 ± 3.4</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO2max (l/min)</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax (km/h)</td>
<td>17.6 ± 1.3</td>
<td>18.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT1 (km/h)</td>
<td>11.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>11.8 ± 1.1</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT2 (km/h)</td>
<td>14.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>15.0 ± 1.1</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical significances within group changes: * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

in all subjects. In the nocturnal measurements, individual changes from mid- to post-training in HF (r = 0.414, p = 0.049) and TP (r = 0.485, p = 0.019) correlated with \( V_{\text{max}} \) changes in the total group of subjects. Individual TP (r = 0.462, p = 0.026) and HF (r = 0.503, p = 0.014) changes from pre- to post-training correlated positively with absolute average serum testosterone concentrations and average testosterone/cortisol ratio (r = 0.479, p = 0.021 and r = 0.465, p = 0.025, respectively) in all subjects. In HRVG a significant correlation was found between absolute morning RMSSD values and the number of HIT sessions during the last four weeks (r = 0.592, p = 0.042).

Individual average serum testosterone concentrations (pre-, mid- and post-training) correlated significantly with individual changes in \( V_{\text{max}} \) (r = 0.510, p = 0.01) (Fig. 6) and in 3000 m (r = 0.570, p < 0.01) in all subjects. A significant correlation was also found between average serum testosterone concentrations and changes in \( V_{\text{max}} \) (r = 0.457, p = 0.025) and 3000 m (r = 0.510, p = 0.011) in the total group of subjects. Individual changes in testosterone concentrations from mid- to post-training correlated positively with changes in \( V_{\text{max}} \) (r = 0.527, p = 0.008) in all subjects. Individual changes in CMJ from mid- to post-training correlated positively with changes in \( V_{\text{max}} \) (R = 0.469, p = 0.021) in the total group of subjects.

Discussion

Both groups significantly improved their 3000 m running result and endurance performance in the incremental treadmill test. However, the main finding of the current study was the significantly greater increase in \( V_{\text{max}} \) and countermovement jump after HRV-guided compared to predetermined training after 8-weeks of high-intensity block training. Significant increases in HRV and serum testosterone concentration were observed in HRVG, but not in PD. This study suggests that individually HRV-guided programming of HIT blocks contributes to greater positive adaptations compared to predetermined training.

Training

Training intensity distribution based on time in the zone was almost identical between the groups. When analyzing weekly distribution using the session goal approach, significant differences were observed. In HRVG, blocks tended to be performed in a more even way through the training period. In previous studies on HRV-guided training, significant differences between predetermined and HRV-guided groups were observed in the amount of HIT training [19, 45]. Although no significant differences were observed in the present study, much larger interindividual variation in the number of HIT sessions was found in HRVG (SD = 4.1) compared to PD (SD = 0.6). It seems that some individuals were able to recover and benefit from a greater number of HIT sessions than others. During short training periods of 1–2 weeks, HIT sessions have been performed almost daily but not in longer interventions [2, 4]. No significant correlation was found between the individual number of HIT sessions and endurance performance adaptations in HRVG, suggesting that similar adaptations can be gained with differently periodised HIT training.

Endurance performance

Both groups improved endurance performance in the incremental treadmill test and in 3000 m running. Also \( \text{VO2max} \) and velocity at the thresholds increased significantly in both groups. The magnitude of improvement was in line with previous studies on block periodization [32] and HRV guided training [19, 45]. All subjects improved their \( V_{\text{max}} \) on the treadmill as well as 3000 m running time. Nonetheless, a significant difference and large between-group effect size was found in the relative change of \( V_{\text{max}} \). In addition, a moderate between-group effect size was noted in the change of absolute \( \text{VO2max} \). These findings were interesting due to the almost identical improvement of 3000 m in both groups. They were also somewhat different compared to the study by Vesterinen et al. [45] where the HRV-guided group performed better only in the 3000 m run but not on the treadmill. This difference might be explained by the different kinds of periodization of the training in the predetermined group, because in the current study it was more similar to the HRV-guided group. Neuromuscular performance and fatigue may at least partly explain the observed group difference in \( V_{\text{max}} \), because the significant between-group difference was also found in the CMJ change. No correlation was found between individual pre-to-post changes in CMJ, but individual mid-to-post changes in CMJ correlated significantly with individual relative changes of \( V_{\text{max}} \). The negative trend in CMJ may indicate neuromuscular fatigue caused by too many or badly timed HIT blocks. \( V_{\text{max}} \) speed was on average 10 % higher than average speed during the 3000 m test, indicating that neuromuscular demand at \( V_{\text{max}} \) may be higher. As indicated by Paavolainen et al. [28], a so-called muscle power factor may be an important determinant of maximal running performance.
### Table 3  Running performance, serum hormone concentrations and heart rate variability (HRV) at pre-, mid- and post-training. Effect size of between-group differences was analysed from pre- to post-training. Hormones were measured on the same day as the running test was performed. HRV was analysed as an average of the control period (pre), week 4 (mid) and week 8 (post).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HRVG (n = 13)</th>
<th>PD (n = 11)</th>
<th>ES (pre-post)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre Mid Post</td>
<td>Pre Mid Post</td>
<td>HRVG vs. PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MaxLa (mmol/l)</td>
<td>14.2 ±3.0</td>
<td>14.3 ±3.4</td>
<td>15.8 ±:2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MaxHR (bpm/min)</td>
<td>187 ±9</td>
<td>186 ±7</td>
<td>187 ±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testosterone (nmol/l)</td>
<td>19.0 ±5.3</td>
<td>17.7 ±4.8</td>
<td>20.6 ±4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortisol (nmol/l)</td>
<td>446 ±70</td>
<td>464 ±91</td>
<td>488 ±109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testosterone/cortisol</td>
<td>0.43 ±0.11</td>
<td>0.39 ±0.13</td>
<td>0.45 ±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate variability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (bpm/min)</td>
<td>50.9 ±5.6</td>
<td>48.9 ±5.5</td>
<td>46.5 ±5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSSD (ms)</td>
<td>76 ±25</td>
<td>80 ±22</td>
<td>89 ±22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF (ms²)</td>
<td>4898 ±1415</td>
<td>5438 ±1532</td>
<td>6322 ±2090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF (ms²)</td>
<td>4055 ±2313</td>
<td>4324 ±2177</td>
<td>4865 ±2085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP (ms²)</td>
<td>8952 ±3265</td>
<td>9762 ±3208</td>
<td>11097 ±3814</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical significance within group changes: * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, * * p < 0.001.  
Pre-post,  
Mid-post
Although increased HRV was associated with improved performance in the current study, this was not the case in all other studies. For example, in the study by Le Meur et al. [21], significant parasympathetic hyperactivation was found followed by overreaching. Overreaching was achieved with an increase in training volume, so it can be speculated that reactions may differ between training interventions with increased training volume or intensity. Schmitt et al. [37] also underlined the individuality of HRV reactions followed by intensive training. They found four different kinds of fatigue shifts of HRV patterns. More research is still needed to examine different types of individual HRV reactions and how they are possibly related to the type of training performed.

An interesting but not a novel finding was the association between baseline HF and $V_{\text{max}}$ change in PD [11, 44]. In the Vesterinen et al. [44] study, a similar correlation was found between the individual baseline HF and $V_{\text{max}}$ adaptations to HIT training. The correlation was negative with LIT training. Based on the association found in PD but not in HRVG, the timing and amount of HIT training may be more relevant than training intensity or volume. In the present study, a significant correlation was found between the individual morning RMSSD during the last four weeks of the training period and the number of HIT sessions in HRVG. This may also be related to the link between absolute HRV and ability to cope with high amounts of high-intensity training. Based on the correlations found between the individual HRV mid-to-post changes and individual changes in performance, these associations may become more critical as the length of the intensive training period increases.

As in previous studies, morning and nocturnal measurements of HRV showed slightly different trends. The morning measurements were used for periodization in the current study for practical reasons. Although nocturnal measurements are often stated to be a more standardized method, in the Hynynen et al. [15] study no changes in nocturnal HRV markers were observed in the overtrained athletes, whereas significant decreases were found in the morning measurements. The authors speculated that waking always causes a kind of stress reaction that may lead to different results compared to the night measurement. In the present study, there were no significant differences between the groups according to nocturnal heart rate or HRV changes during the training period. In the morning measurements, a small between-group effect size in RMSSD and moderate in heart rate were observed when the

**Fig. 4** Relative changes in countermovement jump and maximal running velocity from pre-mid, mid-post and pre-post. Statistical significances: **p < 0.01** within groups, *p < 0.05* between groups.

**Fig. 5** Weekly average of morning RMSSD and heart rate. Statistical significance of within-group changes from the control period: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.*
relative change from the control period was compared to the week-
8 values.

Due to different results obtained from different kinds of mea-
surements, it is important to always use the same kind of protocol.
In addition, using averages instead of individual values is highly re-
commended as stated by Plews et al. [30]. In the current study, the
3-day rolling average of the quick recovery test was used. Previous
studies have used 7-day averages [45] and daily values [19]. Aver-
ging results for a longer period may decrease the risk of false re-
sults due to high day-to-day variation in HRV [30], but at the same
time averages of a very long period may make it difficult to react
quickly to changes in the autonomic nervous system. An average
of three to four days may be a good compromise, because it de-
creases the value of an individual result but still makes it possible
to react quickly to observed trends. The reference value also plays
an important role in regulating the start of HIT blocks. In the cur-
rent study, the average value of the control period was used, which
seemed to allow good recovery in most subjects. A few individuals
had trouble obtaining a test result over the reference value, prob-
ably due to stress outside training. Despite a low number of HIT
blocks, they still improved their performance. The quick recovery
test scaled the result based on individual measurement history. The
reference value was therefore continuously updated as more HRV
data was collected. Updating the reference or control value during
longer training periods may be recommended because in the cur-
rent study significant increases in HRV markers were observed fol-
lowing training.

Serum hormone concentrations

It has been found that endurance athletes tend to have lower tes-
tosterone concentrations compared to controls [8]. However, ad-
aptations observed after the endurance training period has varied
from a decrease [13], an increase [6] to no change [43]. Training
mode may affect on hormonal response, because a greater acute
free testosterone response has been found after a high-intensity
interval session compared to a lower intensity steady-state session
[9]. In the current study, a significant increase in serum testoster-
one concentration and a tendency for the testosterone/cortisol
ratio to increase were observed from mid- to post-training in the
HRVG group. The significant decrease was noted in testosterone in
PD from pre- to mid-training. No significant changes were observed
in concentrations of other hormones examined.

Individual basal serum testosterone concentration as well as the
testosterone/cortisol ratio correlated with changes in $V_{\text{max}}$ and
3000 m. Hoogeveen and Zonderland [13] found no correlation be-
tween the improvement of cycling performance and changes in
testosterone or cortisol during a training period. However, Mäestu
et al. [26] concluded that the first sign of decreased adaptivity in
athletes is a decreased resting level of free testosterone and a lower
maximal exercise-induced acute increase in free testosterone con-
centration. Most studies have focused on typical high-volume en-
durance training, so it can be speculated that high-intensity train-
ing may induce different adaptations. Zinner et al. [48] found that
after 2 weeks of HIT training, a positive correlation between the
improvement in endurance performance and an increase in basal
testosterone concentration was observed.

An interesting relationship was also found between both indi-
vidual absolute testosterone concentrations and testosterone/cor-
tisol ratios and individual changes in HRV during the present train-
ing period. Similarly, Huovinen et al. [14] found a significant corre-
lation between the testosterone/cortisol ratios and the changes in
HF during the stressful first week of military service. According to
intensive block training, in addition to positive changes in testos-
terone and the testosterone/cortisol ratio, higher absolute serum
testosterone concentrations may also be beneficial.

Conclusions

The present results suggest that block periodization of HIT is an ef-
fective way to improve endurance and running performance in a
short amount of time in already endurance-trained males. Individually HRV-guided timing and the number of HIT blocks seem to provide greater endurance and neuromuscular adaptations compared to predetermined training. Individually guided training may reduce the risk of overtraining observed as positive changes in HRV and serum testosterone concentrations. Both baseline heart rate variability and testosterone levels may be associated with the capacity of an individual to adapt to intensive block training.
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